

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,002	03/25/2004	Jan Wietze Huisman	Vertis-3/Con	7749
	7590 01/25/2007 N & ASSOCIATES		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 8489	9		KUHNS, ALLAN R	
RED BANK, NJ 07701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	ONTHS	01/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		1	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/809,002	HUISMAN, JAN WIETZE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Allan Kuhns	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply. Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a runication.  tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AE	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) file</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition to closed in accordance with the practice</li> </ul>	(b)igotimes This action is non-final. For allowance except for formal matter.	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 51-90 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 51-90 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected to tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar the correction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been hal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	rO-948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/809,002

Art Unit: 1732

1.Claims 62, 64, 76, 79, 85, 87 and 90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 76, 79, 85, 87 and 90 contain the term "in particular" while claims 62 and 64 contain the term "preferably". It is unclear as to whether or not the phrases which follow these terms serve to further limit these claims. Clarification is required.

2.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3.Claims 51-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu et al. (5,753,174) in view of Wittwer et al. (4,673,438). Shimizu et al. disclose or suggest the basic claimed method for manufacturing products in a mold, the products including a first and second mass comprising natural polymars (note the embodiment in which a biodegradable plastic is injected into a mold, as disclosed at column 9, lines 48-52, coupled with the injection of chitosan as a functional means, as disclosed at column 8, line 64), wherein the products are manufactured by bringing masses into or through a mold and the masses are heated in the mold, the material composition is influenced such that the material properties of the first and second masses are different from one another and the first and second masses are adjoining. Shimizu et al. appear not to teach crosslinking of the natural polymers, but such is taught by Wittwer et al. at column

Application/Control Number: 10/809,002

Art Unit: 1732

12, lines 12-15, for example. Based on this teaching of Wittwer et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide for the crosslinking of the natural polymers of Shimizu et al. in order to provide a stable molded article.

Wittwer et al. teach the use of a softener or plasticizer, as in claims 52-54, at column 13, lines 48-55. Use of other additives, as in claims 55-58, 80-83 and 85-90, is well known and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to refine product properties, as would order of mass injection, as in claims 59-66. It is submitted that injection molding inherently provides compression, as in claim 84. Providing a coating, as in claims 67-78, is also well known and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide protection for the molded article, as is the forming of a hinge, as in claim 79, in order to form a fast food container.

4.Applicant's arguments filed November 6, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are considered to be moot by the examiner based on the revised grounds of rejection introduced in this Office action.

5.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,002 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALLAN R. KUHNS

PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

1-22-07